

Let $x = (1234)$, $y = (12)$ (Note the relations: $x^4 = e, y^2 = e, xyx = yx^{-1}y$). Then

(1) = $(x^4 = y^2)$	(143) = $yx^{-1} = (yx^3 = xyxy)$
(12) = y	(234) = yx
(13) = $xyx^{-1}y = x^{-1}yx^2y$	(243) = $x^{-1}y = (x^3y)$
(14) = $x^{-1}yx = (yxyx^2)$	(1234) = x
(23) = $xyx^{-1} = (xyx^3)$	(1243) = $xyx = yx^{-1}y$
(24) = $xyx^2y = (yx^2yx^{-1} = xyxyx^{-1}yx^{-1})$	(1324) = yx^2
(34) = x^2yx^2	(1342) = $xyy = x^{-1}yx^{-1}$
(123) = $x^{-1}yx^2 = yxyx^{-1} = (yx^2yxy)$	(1423) = x^2y
(124) = x^2yx^{-1}	(1432) = $x^{-1} = (x^3)$
(132) = $x^2yx = xyx^{-1}y$	(12)(34) = $x^2yx^2y = xyx^{-1}yxy$
(134) = xy	(13)(24) = x^2
(142) = $xyx^2 = yx^{-1}yx$	(14)(23) = $yx^2y = (xyx^2yx)$

The *length* of a word

$$(1) \quad w = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$$

is defined as

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |i_j|.$$

(this depends on the word, not the element!) That it is equal to the number of letters it takes to write w (negative powers still count for positive letters). For instance the word x^2yx^{-1} has length 4. In the table all words of minimal length which represent a given element of S_4 are written without parenthesis. Other words are written with parenthesis.

- This table proves that S_4 is generated by (12) and (1234) since we can see from inspection that every element of S_4 is a word in x and y (**excer**: prove that in general S_n is generated by (12) and $(1 \cdots n)$ clearly making this kind of table is not the best approach).
- Notice that many elements must be written as words which switch back and forth between x 's and y 's at least twice. This surprises many students. More formally, by combining repeats, we can assume that word appearing in equation(1) above satisfies $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ (so x^2yxx^2 would not qualify but x^2yx^3 would) then we define the *chunk length* of w to be n . One of the most common mistakes students make is to assume that the chunk length cannot be greater than 2. However in this table we see several elements, e.g. (13) and (24), who do not have a word representing them of chunk length less than 4.
- The other remarkable thing to notice about this table is that there isn't much else remarkable about this table. For most groups and for most generating sets there isn't a "sexy" way of figuring out the best way to represent a given element as a word in those generators.